	Case 2:23-cv-00336-KJM-CKD Docume	ent 7 Filed 03/02/23 Page 1 of 2				
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	QUINTIN R. CROSS,	No. 2:23-cv-0336 CKD P				
12	Plaintiff,					
13	v.	ORDER AND				
14	PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS				
15	Defendants.					
16						
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §					
18	1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §					
19	636(b)(1).					
20	Plaintiff requests leave to proceed in forma pauperis. After reviewing plaintiff's request					
21	and plaintiff's California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation trust account statement,					
22	which reveals a current balance of approximately \$3,250, the court finds that plaintiff has not					
23	made the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that he cannot presently afford the filing fees					
24	for this action. Therefore, the court will recommend that plaintiff's request for leave to proceed					
25	in forma pauperis be denied and that he be ordered to pay the filing fee.					
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district					
27	court judge to this case.					
28	/////					

Case 2:23-cv-00336-KJM-CKD Document 7 Filed 03/02/23 Page 2 of 2

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that: Cir. 1991). Dated: March 2, 2023

1	D1 ' ''CC	4.	1 '	C	· (ECEN	2) 1 1 1 1

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) be denied; and
- 2. Plaintiff be ordered to pay the \$402 filing fee.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cros0336.ifp.den